

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/750,640		12/28/2000	Duane Scott Dewald	T1-30205	6977	
23494	7590	06/26/2002		•		
TEXAS IN	NSTRUM	ENTS INCORPO	EXAMINER			
P O BOX 6 DALLAS, 7			ROBINSON, MARK A			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2872		
				DATE MAILED: 06/26/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>					\\\\\\				
<b>y</b>		Application No.	The state of the s	pplicant(s)	•				
	09/750,640		DEWALD, DUANE SCOTT						
Office Action St	ummary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Mark A. Robinson		2872					
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication ap	pears on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF THI - Extensions of time may be available ur after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If the period for reply specified above is - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extend - Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 33	S COMMUNICATION.  Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1  Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1  Independent of this communication.  Independent in thirty (30) days, a reple, the maximum statutory period  Independent of the provided period for reply will, by statute  Independent of the mailing the mailing in three months after the mailing in the provision of the provi	136(a). In no event, however by within the statutory mining will apply and will expire S e, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be time mum of thirty (30) days IX (6) MONTHS from t become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely he mailing date of this co 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
	inication(s) filed on <u>13</u>	March 2002 .							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.		nis action is non-fir	ıal.						
3) Since this application	<u>'</u>								
Disposition of Claims	·								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pe	ending in the application	n.							
4a) Of the above claim(	4a) Of the above claim(s) 8,9,14 and 21-30 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are a	llowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10-13 and</u>	Claim(s) <u>1-7,10-13 and 15-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are o	bjected to.								
8) Claim(s) are sub Application Papers	ject to restriction and/o	or election requiren	nent.						
9) The specification is obje	cted to by the Examine	er.	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b)□ objecte	d to by the Exan	niner.					
Applicant may not reque	est that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held	l in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119	and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is ma	de of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c)[	☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the cer application fr * See the attached detaile	om the International Bu	ireau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is mad	e of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e	) (to a provisional	application).				
a) ☐ The translation of to 15)☐ Acknowledgment is mad		• •		•	•				
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-8 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s	awing Review (PTO-948)	5) 🔲	•	(PTO-413) Paper No( atent Application (PT					

Art Unit: 2872

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1,2,5,6 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaplan et al.

These claims stand rejected as discussed in the previous office action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 3,4,7 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaplan et al.

These claims stand rejected as discussed in the previous office action.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 3/13/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2872

Applicant has argued that Kaplan does not show the entrance face to be "on a first end of said elongated body." However, item 12 in Kaplan is considered to be the elongated body as set forth in the rejection. A "body" may be defined as a main or central part of something or merely an aggregate of matter.

Thus, item 12 of Kaplan clearly satisfies the broad recitation of an "elongated body" as claimed. Thus, the entrance aperture in Kaplan is shown to be located on a first end of this body.

Regarding applicant's remarks concerning features which were stated to be "well known," applicant should note Sugawara (col. 3) made of record, as well as newly cited Okamori et al(fig. 5) and Nagano et al(col. 3), for showing the claimed integrator shape configurations.

Regarding applicant's remarks with respect to claim 7, applicant should note that metallic layers are very commonly used as reflecting surfaces. Use of such in Kaplan would provide increased reflectivity (and thus less absorption) for the cavity, thus increasing the effectiveness of the integrator.

Art Unit: 2872

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ramer et al teach various configurations for integrators.
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applican't is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 305-3506.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cassandra Spyrou can be reached at (703) 308-1687. The fax phone number for the

Art Unit: 2872

Page 5

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Mark Robinson

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2872

6/19/02